Ruben D. Sanchez
48255 Monroe #67
Indio, CA 92201
(760) 6 (9-9014)
Star Bart 164 298

FILED
FILED
FIED 23 2009
STATE BAR COURT
CLERK'S OFFICE
LOS ANGELES

THE STATE BAR COURT HEARING DEPARTMENT—LOS ANGELES

In the Matter of)	
)	Case No. 07-0-13599; 08-0-11153
)	Respondent's Ruben D. Sanchez's Answer to
)	The control of the co
)	
RUBEN D. SANCHEZ, No. 164298)	n k ách broblekku szón
)	
A Member of the State Bar		

TO THE OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA AND TH DEPUTY TRIAL COUNSEL OF RECORD:

Comes Now Respondent, Ruben D. Sanchez, a Member of the State Bar, in Propia Persona and respond to the Notice of Disciplinary charges filed by the Office of the Chief Trial in the above referenced matters as follows:

kwiktag* 035 133 396

1.0 First Charge vs. Respondent

As to the First Charge of Professional Misconduct against respondent, respondent Ruben D. Sanchez, DENIES, the violations of inappropriate conduct alleged in the complaint.

2.0 Second Charge vs. Respondent

je sa koji je se dije

As to the Second Charge of Professional Misconduct against respondent, respondent Ruben D. Sanchez, DENIES, the violations of inappropriate conduct alleged in the complaint.

3.0 Third Charge vs. Respondent

talah dan kagpasah dalah d

As to the Third Charge of Professional Misconduct against respondent, respondent Ruben D. Sanchez, DENIES, the violations of inappropriate conduct alleged in the complaint

4.0 Fourth Charge vs. Respondent

As to the Fourth Charge of Professional Misconduct against respondent, respondent Ruben D. Sanchez, DENIES, the violations of inappropriate conduct alleged in the complaint. Respondent

5.0 Fifth Charge vs. Respondent

As to the Fifth Charge of Professional Misconduct against respondent, respondent Ruben D. Sanchez, DENIES, the violations of inappropriate conduct alleged in the complaint. Respondent Sanchez formally requests a brief continuance of these proceedings in order to obtain the advice of competent counsel.

6.0 Sixth Charge vs. Respondent

As to the Sixth Charge of Professional Misconduct against respondent, respondent Ruben D. Sanchez, DENIES, the violations of inappropriate conduct alleged in the complaint. Respondent

7.0 Seventh Charge vs. Respondent

As to the Seventh Charge of Professional Misconduct against respondent, respondent Ruben D. Sanchez, DENIES, the violations of inappropriate conduct alleged in the complaint. Respondent

8.0 Eighth Charge vs. Respondent

As to the Eighth Charge of Professional Misconduct against respondent, respondent Ruben D. Sanchez, DENIES, the violations of inappropriate conduct alleged in the complaint.

9.0 Ninth Charge vs. Respondent

As to the Ninth Charge of Professional Misconduct against respondent, respondent Ruben D. Sanchez, DENIES, the violations of inappropriate conduct alleged in the complaint.

o. sel.

10.0 Tenth Charge vs. Respondent

As to the Tenth Charge of Professional Misconduct against respondent, respondent Ruben D. Sanchez, DENIES, the violations of inappropriate conduct alleged in the complaint.

of competent counsel.

11.0 Eleventh Charge vs. Respondent

As to the Eleventh Charge of Professional Misconduct against respondent, respondent Ruben D. Sanchez, DENIES, the violations of inappropriate conduct alleged in the complaint. Respondent

12.0 Twelfth Charge vs. Respondent

}

As to the Twelfth Charge of Professional Misconduct against respondent, respondent Ruben D. Sanchez, DENIES, the violations of inappropriate conduct alleged in the complaint.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct. S to those matters indicated on information and belief, I believe them to be true.

Respectfully Submitted, this 23rd day of February, 2009, in the City of Palm Springs, CA

RUBEN D. SANCHEZ

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, over the age of eighteen, declare that [Alam / []] am not a party to the within action, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on
Expersonal delivery: Brule tandy 4th Floor Recept
[] other:
I declare under penalty of perjury at Los Angeles, California, on the date shown below, that the foregoing is true and correct. Dated: $2-23-29$